



Exploring the tree of unreliability and what drives downtime

Shon Isenhour





Shon Isenhour, CMRP, CAMA

Partner, Eruditio

- Engineering graduate of North Carolina State University
- Past National Chairman of the Society of Maintenance and Reliability Professionals (SMRP) and past Vice President of Membership and Programs for the South Carolina Midlands chapter of the American Society for Training and Development (ATD, formerly ASTD) and Past Vice Chairman of World Partners in Asset Management (WPiAM)
- Certified Maintenance & Reliability Professional (CMRP) and Certified Asset Management Assessor (CAMA)
- Experienced in industries such as primary metals, mining, pharmaceuticals, petrochemical, chemical processing and paper



Introductions



FLUKE

Reliability

eruditio

eruditio.com

Training, Consulting, and Magic





Exploring the tree of unreliability and what drives downtime

Shon Isenhour, CMRP, CAMA



POLL QUESTION No. 1



Do you use fault tree or logic tree regularly in your RCA efforts? (Click only one answer)

- Yes, we use them regularly
- We know what they are, but don't use them
- What is a fault tree?



Outline

Tree Tools

5 Levels

Diamond

Systemic and Latent



Root Cause Analysis



Real ...



Latin Same Unardization Unardizion Unardi Tread Tr S.B. Delivering ,irinali. Afrest and for quite for rear regarding reconduct epols; noire househole förskalapit producest filmad net final Rosart, nontine with physics Nordh All to search of the radie to all reproduction of the search partic usiatigand det Westwood Notified the electron of main providence inclusions territ alariantical Tack of parameters Address Version Accesses Service Accesses Access n principal regionalitation paternialitation paternialitation Nanct and fire investigate And a second sec that of scheing be dischool president II.4 Bridge auchon Barrardollo Barrardollo

FLUKE.

Learning project submitted



FLUKE

Reliability

Not enough effort



FLUKE,

Reliability





Reliability

POLL QUESTION No. 2



Are your fault trees more than 10 boxes? (Click only one answer)

- Yes, we have 20+ boxes with actions and conditions
- No, ours are 5 whys or simple trees of ~10 boxes
- We don't use fault trees



Let's get started



15

Reliability

FLUKE

Zoom in



FLUKE.

Reliability

Zoom in



FLUKE.

More ...



FLUKE

More



FLUKE

Reliability

The point is ...

- It's a diamond if you link them
- It comes down to some fundamentals:
 - Training alone is not your problem
 - Do you have a plan
 - Risk, communication, change management, leadership, vision, guiding principles
 - The value of "it"

More



© 2020 | Fluke Reliability

21

Reliability

FLUKE

POLL QUESTION No. 3



Are you addressing the systemic and latent roots? (Click only one answer)

- Yes, we are, using business case thinking
- No, we tend to focus on the physical and human roots
- Don't know/not sure

Training alone is not your problem

- Easy to blame
- YouTube videos/Master Class/Udemy
- Coaching
- Project
- Plan



Vision mission

Project: Subsea Ninja Workflow

Define (Problem):

Long lead time to produce a 5-year and annual check. Poor use of resources, lack of spare parts, poor delivery on repaired parts. Improper management of tools, poor use of shop space, manuals vague, improperly defined maintenance or over maintenance performed. Key points for quality assurance are missed due to lack of definition.

Scope: Improve turnaround time of senturian maintenance while improving quality of product. Also set baseline for locations to perform 5-year maintenance.

Defects:

- Insufficient parts long lead time on parts
- Increased wait time due to lack of crane in control room shop
- Disorganize space not sustaining the 5S implementation
- Lack of visual quality control not sustaining the 5S implementation
- Maintenance manuals do not well define 5-year service.
- Maintenance processes cumbersome and unnecessary
- Higher leak rates on valves after one to two jobs



Improve

- Share crane in lab to extend resource
 - Define and set up Kanbans, along with min/max
 - Implement efficiency in maintenance task
- Organized and setup toolbox dedicated to Senturian
- Use of new control shop workflow and pump room





Plan and path

- Where are we going?
- Can we get it all done?
- What have others done?



Implement



ibl implementation model





Reliability

Plan

Deliverables		PLAN START ((period)				AL PERCENT	PERNODS (months)
Set-up Maintenance Daily Management Board	Establish a communication board for pilot area, and train area supervisors.	11				0%	
Work Management Training	Provide eLearning modules and 2-day Work Management workshop #1 for pilot area - Eruditio/FIT Co-facilitate.	12				0%	
Planner/Scheduler Training	Provide eLearning modules and 2-day Planner/Scheduler workshop - Eruditio Facilitate.	12				0%	
Work Management Training	Provide eLearning modules and 2-day Work Management workshop #2 for balance of plant and including Spare Parts training package - Eruditio/FIT Co-facilitate.	16				0%	
Running Maintenance Execution Work Package	Running Maintenance (i.e. TPM) Execution requires Planned Maintenance Execution to be able to effectively manage the Maintenance Backlog, and effective Problem Solving practices to be in place.	6	5	6	0	0%	
FIT Operator Driven Reliability (ODR) Event	Facilitate ODR workshop #1 in pilot area based on system- level criticality rankings.	6				0%	
Set-up Visual Controls	FIT identify abnormalities and establish visual controls.	6				0%	
Develop Inspection Standards	Create standard procedures for PM/TPM routines.	7				0%	
Add ODR Elements to Communication Board	Add inspection standards, PM/TPM schedule, PM/TPM audit and TPM tagging elements to "war room" communication board in pilot area.	8				0%	
Map TPM Tagging Process to Work Management Proces	Define how TPM Tags will be collected, recorded and s tracked to completion within Work Management process and SAP.	8				0%	
Create PM/TPM User Training Package	Assemble user training resources, such as PowerPoint Presentation, whitepaper, and Single-point lessons for communication board.	9				0%	
Operator Driven Reliability Event for Pilot Area	Eruditio/FIT Co-facilitate Operator training in pilot area for new PM/TPM routines, TPM tagging, and visual controls.	10				0%	
Spare Parts Management Work Package	In order to improve Spare Parts Management, effective Planning & Scheduling practices must be established. The initial focus of this work package is to identify obsolescence and "critical" spare parts inventories.	12	7	12	0	0%	
FIT Spare Parts Optimization Training	Provide eLearning modules and 2-day Spare Parts Optimization workshop.	12				0%	
Evaluate Inventory Effectiveness	FIT collect data pertaining to inventory usage, turns, purchasing cost, and carrying cost to evaluate inventory effectiveness for pilot area.	12				0%	
Perform A-B-C Classification of MRO Inventory Items	Evaluate maintenance, repair and general operating supplies inventory classifications used in pilot area based on system-level criticality rankings and ABC analysis model provided by Eruditio.	13				0%	
Update Bill of Materials for Critical Assets	Revise or create BOMs in SAP for pilot area assets in critical systems using ABC classification evaluation/conclusions. Develop the business process used to manage MRO spares,	14				0%	
Map Sustaining Process	control inventory, and audit inventory effectiveness metrics.	15				0%	
Create Spare Parts User Training Package	Assemble user training resources, such as PowerPoint Presentation, whitepaper, Single-point lessons, and Excel tool(s).	16				0%	

FLUKE

Reliability

Identifying potential barriers or points of pushback

Strengths:	Weaknesses:						
Culture of compliance to standard work exists in this area Funding for necessary changes will be made available in 2016 PdM technologies utilized to evaluate asset health Working relationship between Maintenance, Ops, & Planning are excellent	available						
SWOT	Analysis						
Opportunities:	Threats:						
Improve MTBF to 8 months Eliminate oil leaks Meet pressure needs Improve base/foundation area	 Are components in current pump (and future pump) fit for service Parameters for service not currently available Misunderstanding of equipment/component function by non-maintenance groups. 						

FLUKE

Using the plan

Keep it up to date

- It will change (when it does communication is key)
- It is not a one-person document or effort
- Use the predecessors to keep your work to a minimum when things move
- Share at the appropriate level, based on needs

Project plan for Success

- Start early with a plan that grows
- Understand where you are
- Understand where you would like to be
- Understand why you are not there
- Refine your plan based on this information
- Integrate other plans with yours
- Use your plan as a tool, not a burden

"Kotter" change model: 8 stages of effective change



Sell, sell, sell

- Metrics and stories
- Short-term and long-term
- Political, logical, emotional

Cost-savings template

Lean or LSS Project Closure date:Reliability ImprovementTelescope on the tracking S on the tracking S Actual Soft savin customer savingRate InfoNon-exempt hourly rate\$17.00Actual Soft savin customer savingRate InfoExempt hourly rate Job specific hourly rate (if applicable)Non-exempt for applicable)Non-exempt for applicableLABORDescriptionBaseline processNew processHours SavedNon-exempt time per yearMMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL1 42 Steps in 2013"12241205540.00Non-exempt time per yearMMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL2 64 Steps in 2013124511796500Non-exempt time per yearMMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL329522904.51400.00	ite Actual H g, Total cost (where app	lard saving, t, Total blicable) Savings	Pro	t Owner (pject Spo Controlle Location S New process	onsor er	GS/COST Hourly Rate
Non-exempt hourly rate \$17.00 Actual Soft savin customer saving Rate Info Exempt hourly rate \$17.00 Actual Soft savin customer saving Job specific hourly rate (if applicable) HARD SAVII Actual Soft savin customer saving LABOR Description Baseline process New process Hours Saved Non-exempt time per year MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL1 42 Steps in 2013" 1224 1205 540.00 Non-exempt time per year MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL2 64 Steps in 2013 1245 1179 6500 Non-exempt time per year MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL3 2952 2904.5 1400.00	g, Total cost (where app ICE/COSTS Hourly Rate \$ 17.00 \$	t, Total blicable) Savings	Baseline	Controlle Location S New	er n SOFT SAVING Hours	Hourly
Rate Info Exempt hourly rate Actual Soft Saving Job specific hourly rate (if applicable) customer saving LABOR Description Baseline process New process Saved Non-exempt time per year MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL1 42 Steps in 2013" 1224 1205 540.00 Non-exempt time per year MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL2 64 Steps in 2013 1245 1179 6500 Non-exempt time per year MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL3 2952 2904.5 1400.00	(where app Hourly Rate \$ 17.00 \$	olicable) Savings	Baseline	Location S New	n SOFT SAVING Hours	Hourly
Job specific hourly rate (if applicable) Customer Saving Job specific hourly rate (if applicable) HARD SAVI LABOR Description Baseline process New process Hours Saved Non-exempt time per year MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL1 42 Steps in 2013" 1224 1205 540.00 Non-exempt time per year MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL2 64 Steps in 2013 1245 1179 6500 Non-exempt time per year MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL3 2952 2904.5 1400.00	Hourly Rate \$ 17.00	Savings		S	SOFT SAVING	Hourly
Job specific hourly rate (if applicable) HARD SAVI LABOR Description Baseline process New process Hours Saved Non-exempt time per year MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL1 42 Steps in 2013" 1224 1205 540.00 Non-exempt time per year MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL2 64 Steps in 2013 1245 1179 6500 Non-exempt time per year MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL3 2952 2904.5 1400.00	Hourly Rate \$ 17.00	Savings		New	Hours	Hourly
LABORDescriptionBaseline processNew processHours SavedNon-exempt time per yearMMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL1 42 Steps in 2013"12241205540.00Non-exempt time per yearMMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL2 64 Steps in 2013124511796500Non-exempt time per yearMMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL329522904.51400.00	Hourly Rate \$ 17.00	Savings		New	Hours	Hourly
LABORDescriptionprocessprocessSavedNon-exempt time per yearMMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL1 42 Steps in 2013"12241205540.00Non-exempt time per yearMMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL2 64 Steps in 2013124511796500Non-exempt time per yearMMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL329522904.51400.00	Rate \$ 17.00	5		-		-
MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL1 42 Steps in 2013" 1224 1205 540.00 Non-exempt time per year MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL2 64 Steps in 2013 1245 1179 6500 Non-exempt time per year MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL2 64 Steps in 2013 2952 2904.5 1400.00	\$ 17.00 \$	5	process	process	Saved	Rate
Non-exempt time per year42 Steps in 2013"12241205540.00Non-exempt time per yearMMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL2 64 Steps in 2013124511796500Non-exempt time per yearMMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL329522904.51400.00		\$ 9,180.00			1	
Non-exempt time per year 64 Steps in 2013 1245 1179 6500 Non-exempt time per year MMA-BD SL Effectiveness Evaluation SL3 2952 2904.5 1400.00	\$ 17.00 \$					
	•	\$ 110,500.00				
	\$ 17.00 \$. ,				
Capacity Increased Assembly/Disassenbly Bench 0.5 47	\$ 17.00 \$	\$ 799.00				
Capacity Increased Ultrasonic Cleaner 0.5 47	\$ 17.00	\$ 799.00				
Capacity Increased Vibration Analysis Tool 0.05 10.55	\$ 17.00	\$ 179.35				
Capacity Increased MMA Oil Analyzer 20	\$ 17.00					
Capacity Increased SL Kits 0.08 17.58	\$ 17.00 \$					
Capacity Increased InfraRed Thermography 0.17 15.67	\$ 17.00 \$					
	\$	\$ 146,162.60				
Baseline New Value per	Number		Baseline	New	Value per	Number
MATERIALS / TRANSACTIONS Description process process Unit	of units	Savings process		process Unit	of units	
process process only	per year		p100033	process	Offic	per year
	\$	\$ -				
	\$	\$-				
	\$	5 -				

Reliability

POLL QUESTION No. 4



How are you selling your reliability results? (Click only one answer)

- Yes, we actively show our successes using metrics, examples, and stories
- Sometimes we share with some of the facility
- No, we keep to ourselves and just do our job





Reach out on LinkedIn or www.eruditio.com



QUESTIONS?

Thank you!

Shon Isenhour

sisenhour@eruditio.com

843-810-4446

Partner, Eruditio



© 2020 | Fluke Reliability

36

Reliability

Next webinar: Building a culture of safety beyond a pandemic

BEST PRACTICE WEBINAR

Wednesday, Sept. 16, 11 a.m. ET

Building a culture of safety beyond a pandemic

Safety is all about people and building a culture of safety is about instilling human behaviors that become the norm. That includes taking steps to protect workers from being infected by COVID-19, but it goes beyond this. And it is not something accomplished in a short time—typically, it takes five to 10 years. Yet positive short-term changes in processes and systems can, over time, contribute to building this culture.

In this webinar, workplace safety expert **Chuck Pettinger**, a Process Change Leader at Fortive-owned Predictive Solutions, discusses his ongoing work with industrial companies to help build this safetyfocused climate.





To learn more about Fluke Reliability and our Webinar Series









Reliability



www&fluke.com

1-800-850-4608

sales@accelix.com

Accelix™